arXiv:0711.2934v1 [hep-th] 19 Nov 2007

November 2007

Non-linear integral equations in $\mathcal{N}=4$ SYM [*]

Diego Bombardelli, Davide Fioravanti and Marco Rossi [†]

Sezione INFN di Bologna, Dipartimento di Fisica, Università di Bologna,
Via Irnerio 46, Bologna, Italy

Abstract

We survey and discuss the applications of the non-linear integral equation in the framework of the Bethe Ansatz type equations which are conjectured to give the eigenvalues of the dilatation operator in $\mathcal{N}=4$ SYM. Moreover, an original idea (different from that of [1]) to derive a non-linear integral equation is briefly depicted in Section 4.

Keywords: Integrability; counting function; SYM theories; non-linear integral equation.

---

[*] Contribution to the proceedings of the conference RAQIS07, 11-14 September 2007, Lapth Annecy, France (talk given by M.Rossi).

[†] E-mail:bombardelli@bo.infn.it, fioravanti@bo.infn.it, rossi@lapp.in2p3.fr

1 Introduction

The AdS/CFT correspondence [2] states the equivalence between a string theory on the curved space-time $\mathrm{AdS}_5 \times \mathrm{S}^5$ and a conformal quantum field theory on its boundary. In particular, type IIB superstring theory should be dual to $\mathcal{N} = 4$ Super Yang-Mills theory (SYM) in four dimensions: energies of string states correspond to anomalous dimensions of local gauge invariant operators of the quantum field theory.

One of the most important recent development in this context was the discovery of integrability in both planar field theory [3] and string theory [4]. In a nutshell, integrable models appear as spin chain type Bethe equations to be satisfied by 'rapidities' which parametrise on the one side [5, 6, 7] composite operators and their anomalous dimensions in planar $\mathcal{N} = 4$ SYM and on the other side [4, 8, 9, 10, 7] the corresponding dual objects in string theory, i.e. states and their energies, respectively.

Thanks to this discovery, one could start to use the powerful technique of the Bethe Ansatz in order to compute anomalous dimensions of long operators, giving incredible boost towards a proof of the AdS/CFT correspondence. However, the majority of the results (with the exception, to our knowledge, of [11]) concerns the calculation of only the leading term of anomalous dimensions of arrays of L operators in the limit $L \to \infty$. In order to study the (physically relevant) operators with a finite number L of components, in a series of papers [12, 13, 14] we proposed to interpret the Bethe equations in terms of the non-linear integral equation (NLIE). The NLIE [1] allows to write exact expressions for the eigenvalues of the observables for arbitrary values of the length of the chain (L in this context) and the number of Bethe roots. This allows to perform numerical evaluations for such eigenvalues as well as, in some cases, to give explicit analytic expressions for them, at least in some particular limits.

In this contribution, we want to discuss our main results on the application of the NLIE technique in the context of $\mathcal{N} = 4$ SYM and sketch at the end (Section 4) a new approach to and kind of NLIE. We start (Section 2) from the so-called BDS model, which historically [5] was the first proposal for the many loops asymptotic (i.e. up to the order λ^{L-1}, λ being the 't Hooft coupling) description of anomalous dimensions in the $SU(2)$ sector. In this case, the NLIE and the exact expressions for the eigenvalues of the observables [12] have the same structure as in models studied in the past. This is a consequence of two facts. First, the scattering matrix between magnons, which appears on the right hand side of the Bethe equations, depends only on the difference of their rapidities (Bethe roots). Second, for the state at exam the Bethe roots completely fill the real axis and allow only the presence of a finite number of holes. However, at least one of these properties fails when considering general states, or other sectors of $\mathcal{N} = 4$ SYM or when higher loops corrections are improved by introducing the so-called dressing factor [7]. In Section 3 we show how the NLIE and the corresponding expressions for the eigenvalues of the observables [14] in the $SU(2)$ sector assume a new and more complicated form as a consequence of the failure of the first of previous properties, due to the presence of the dressing factor. In order to cope with the intriguingly intricated structure of the Bethe equations and solutions appearing in $\mathcal{N} = 4$ SYM, in Section 4 we propose a new path to a NLIE substantially different from the idea of [1]. This procedure is effective when the magnon scattering matrix has a general dependence on the rapidities and the Bethe roots are concentrated on intervals of the real axis or complex lines.

2 The NLIE for the BDS model

We start by considering the $SU(2)$ scalar sector of planar $\mathcal{N} = 4$ Super Yang-Mills. In a first proposal [5], composite operators (i.e. arrays of L scalar fields) were parametrised by rapidities $\{u_k\}_{k=1,\dots,M}$ satisfying the asymptotic [1] Bethe-type equations

$$\left[\frac{X(u_j + \frac{i}{2})}{X(u_j - \frac{i}{2})}\right]^L = \prod_{\substack{k=1 \\ k\neq j}}^{M} \frac{u_j - u_k + i}{u_j - u_k - i}\,, \tag{2.1}$$

where we introduced the function

$$X(u) = \frac{u}{2}\left(1 + \sqrt{1 - \frac{\lambda}{4\pi^2 u^2}}\right)\,, \tag{2.2}$$

$\lambda = N g_{YM}^2 = 16\pi^2 g^2$ being the 't Hooft coupling of planar theory ($N \to \infty, g_{YM} \to 0$). Anomalous dimensions (i.e. eigenvalues of the dilatation operator) are given by

$$\Delta = L + \sum_{k=1}^{M}\left[\left(\frac{1}{2} - iu_k\right)\sqrt{1 + \frac{4g^2}{\left(\frac{1}{2} - iu_k\right)^2}} + \left(\frac{1}{2} + iu_k\right)\sqrt{1 + \frac{4g^2}{\left(\frac{1}{2} + iu_k\right)^2}} - 1\right]\,. \tag{2.3}$$

In [12] we wrote, following the standard route pioneered by [1], the NLIE describing the highest anomalous dimension operator and its excitations. We now go briefly through its derivation (for details, see [12]). We first introduce two functions

$$\phi(u) = i \ln \frac{i+u}{i-u} = 2\arctan u\,,\ \ \mathrm{Im}u < 1\,, \quad \Phi(u) = i\ln\frac{X\left(\frac{i}{2}+u\right)}{X\left(\frac{i}{2}-u\right)}\,,\ \ \mathrm{Im}u < 1/2\,, \tag{2.4}$$

which allows to define the counting function as

$$Z(u) = L\,\Phi(u) - \sum_{k=1}^{M}\phi(u - u_k)\,. \tag{2.5}$$

We now consider a state with M real roots u_k and a number $H = L - 2M$ of real holes x_h. Using the fact that

$$e^{iZ(u_k)} = e^{iZ(x_h)} = (-1)^{L-M-1}\,, \tag{2.6}$$

---

[1] The term asymptotic means exactly that this Ansatz is believed to give the exact loop expansion to the anomalous dimension up to *wrapping corrections*, starting at order λ^L.

the sum over all the real roots of this state of a function f analytic in a strip around the real axis can be written as [1]

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{k=1}^{M} f(u_k) &= -\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{dv}{2\pi}\, f'(v)\, Z(v) + \\ &+ \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{dv}{\pi}\, f'(v)\, \operatorname{Im}\ln\left[1+(-1)^{L-M} e^{iZ(v+i0)}\right] - \sum_{h=1}^{H} f(x_h)\,.\end{aligned} \tag{2.7}$$

This may be applied to the sum in the counting function (2.5) bringing

$$\begin{aligned}Z(u) &= L\,\Phi(u) - \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{dv}{2\pi}\, \phi'(u-v)\, Z(v) + \\ &+ \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{dv}{\pi}\, \phi'(u-v)\, \operatorname{Im}\ln\left[1+(-1)^{L-M} e^{iZ(v+i0)}\right] + \\ &+ \sum_{h=1}^{H} \phi(u-x_h)\,.\end{aligned} \tag{2.8}$$

It is convenient to introduce the usual synthetic notation

$$L(u) = \operatorname{Im}\ln\left[1+(-1)^{L-M} e^{iZ(u+i0)}\right]\,.$$

After u Fourier transforming all the terms and moving the first convolution to the l.h.s., we obtain

$$\hat{Z}(k) = \hat{F}(k) + 2\hat{G}(k)\hat{L}(k) + \sum_{h=1}^{H} e^{-ikx_h}\,\hat{H}(k)\,, \tag{2.9}$$

where (P is the principal value distribution)

$$\hat{F}(k) = L\frac{\pi}{i} P\left(\frac{1}{k}\right) \frac{J_0\left(\frac{\sqrt{\lambda}}{2\pi}k\right)}{\cosh\frac{k}{2}}\,, \quad \hat{G}(k) = \frac{1}{1+e^{|k|}}\,, \quad \hat{H}(k) = \frac{2\pi}{i} P\left(\frac{1}{k}\right) \hat{G}(k)\,. \tag{2.10}$$

Inverting the Fourier transforms of (2.9) leads to the NLIE valid for this multi-loop Bethe equations (and for the aforementioned states)

$$\begin{aligned}Z(u) &= F(u) + \sum_{h=1}^{H} H(u-x_h) + \\ &+ 2\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dv\; G(u-v)\, \operatorname{Im}\ln\left[1+(-1)^{L-M} e^{iZ(v+i0)}\right]\,.\end{aligned} \tag{2.11}$$

Let us observe that in this case the structure of this NLIE is quite the same as in many other models. And, as usual, plugging the equation (2.11) in relation (2.7), we can disentangle the bulk term (proportional to the size L) to the finite size corrections in the expression for the eigenvalues of the observables:

$$\sum_{k=1}^{M} f(u_k) = -\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}\frac{dv}{2\pi}f'(v)F(v)+ \tag{2.12}$$
$$+\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}\frac{dv}{\pi}f'(v)\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}dw\,\left[\delta(v-w)-G(v-w)\right]\,\mathrm{Im}\ln\left[1+(-1)^{L-M}e^{iZ(w+i0)}\right]-$$
$$-\sum_{h=1}^{H}\left\{\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}\frac{dv}{2\pi}f'(v)\,H(v-x_h)+f(x_h)\right\}.$$

It is of interest to apply this formula to the conserved charges of the model, i.e.

$$\mathcal{Q}_r=\sum_{k=1}^{M}q_r(u_k)\,,\quad q_r(u)=\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}\frac{dk}{2\pi}e^{iku}\;2^{r-1}\frac{(2\pi)^r}{(\sqrt{\lambda})^{r-1}}\frac{1}{i^{r-2}}\frac{J_r\left(\frac{\sqrt{\lambda}}{2\pi}k\right)}{ke^{\frac{|k|}{2}}}\,. \tag{2.13}$$

In particular the anomalous dimension is given by $\Delta=L+2g^2\mathcal{Q}_2$. We get

$$\mathcal{Q}_r=L\frac{i^{r+2}}{g^{r-1}}\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}dk\frac{J_{r-1}(2gk)J_0(2gk)}{k(e^{|k|}+1)}-\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}\frac{dk}{2\pi}\frac{i^{3+r}}{g^{r-1}}\frac{J_{r-1}(2gk)}{\cosh\frac{k}{2}}\hat{L}(k)-$$
$$-\sum_{h=1}^{H}\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}\frac{dk}{2k}e^{-ikx_h}\frac{i^{2+r}}{g^{r-1}}\frac{J_{r-1}(2gk)}{\cosh\frac{k}{2}}\,. \tag{2.14}$$

This relation is exact and allows the (numerical and analytical) study of the dependence of the eigenvalues of the charges on the size of the system. In particular, when $L\rightarrow\infty$, such eigenvalues behave as [12]

$$\mathcal{Q}_r=L\frac{i^{r+2}}{g^{r-1}}\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}dk\frac{J_{r-1}(2gk)J_0(2gk)}{k(e^{|k|}+1)}-H\sum_{l=0}^{\infty}\frac{i^{2l+2-r}\pi^{2l+r-1}g^{2l}}{l!(r+l-1)!}|E_{2l+r-2}|-$$
$$-\frac{i^{r-1}}{g^{r-1}}\frac{J_{r-1}(2gi\pi)}{J_0(2gi\pi)}\frac{\pi}{12L}[1+(-1)^r]+o\left(\frac{1}{L}\right)\,, \tag{2.15}$$

where E_l are the Euler numbers.

3 The NLIE in the $SU(2)$ sector with dressing factor

In order to match with results in string theory, the asymptotic Bethe Ansatz type equations describing planar $\mathcal{N}=4$ SYM should contain – with respect to the first proposals – a universal dressing phase [9, 10, 7]. Consequently, in the $SU(2)$ scalar sector the BDS equations (2.1) ought to be modified into

$$\left[\frac{X(u_j+\frac{i}{2})}{X(u_j-\frac{i}{2})}\right]^L=\prod_{\substack{k=1\\k\neq j}}^{M}\frac{u_j-u_k+i}{u_j-u_k-i}\exp[2i\theta(u_j,u_k)]\,. \tag{3.1}$$

On the other hand, the (renormalised) dimension corresponding to the operator/solution $\{u_k\}_{k=1,\dots,M}$ of (3.1) is still given by (2.3). The complete dressing phase has been conjectured to be [7]

$$\theta(u_j,u_k)=\sum_{r=2}^{\infty}\sum_{\nu=0}^{\infty}\beta_{r,r+1+2\nu}(g)[q_r(u_j)q_{r+1+2\nu}(u_k)-q_r(u_k)q_{r+1+2\nu}(u_j)]\,. \tag{3.2}$$

In order to fix the notations in (3.2), we remind that $q_r(u)$ is the magnon r-th charge, which is given by formula (2.13) and the functions $\beta_{r,r+1+2\nu}(g)$ are meromorphic functions of g, introduced and studied in [7]. In that paper their weak coupling expansion was proposed as

$$\beta_{r,r+1+2\nu}(g)=\sum_{\mu=\nu}^{\infty}g^{2r+2\nu+2\mu}\beta_{r,r+1+2\nu}^{(r+\nu+\mu)}\,, \tag{3.3}$$

the coefficients $\beta_{r,r+1+2\nu}^{(r+\nu+\mu)}$ being

$$\beta_{r,r+1+2\nu}^{(r+\nu+\mu)}=2(-1)^{r+\mu+1}\frac{(r-1)(r+2\nu)}{2\mu+1}\left(\begin{array}{c}2\mu+1\\ \mu-r-\nu+1\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{c}2\mu+1\\ \mu-\nu\end{array}\right)\zeta(2\mu+1)\,. \tag{3.4}$$

In [14] we wrote a NLIE equivalent to the Bethe equations (3.1) for the states[2] characterised by M real Bethe roots, u_k, and $H=L-2M$ real holes, x_h. We now go briefly through its derivation. We start by defining the counting function as

$$Z(u)=L\,\Phi(u)-\sum_{k=1}^{M}\phi(u-u_k)+2\sum_{k=1}^{M}\theta(u,u_k)\,. \tag{3.5}$$

Then, as a consequence of (2.6), it is simple to express a sum on the Bethe roots for a function $f(u)$ as

$$\sum_{k=1}^{M}f(u_k)=-\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}\frac{dv}{2\pi}\,\frac{d}{dv}f(v)\,[Z(v)-2L(v)]-\sum_{h=1}^{H}f(x_h)\,. \tag{3.6}$$

In particular, we will be interested in the eigenvalues of the conserved charges

$$\mathcal{Q}_r=\sum_{k=1}^{M}q_r(u_k)=-\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}\frac{dv}{2\pi}\,\frac{d}{dv}q_r(v)\,[Z(v)-2L(v)]-\sum_{h=1}^{H}q_r(x_h)\,. \tag{3.7}$$

Applying (3.6) to (3.5) we get

$$\begin{aligned}Z(u)\;&=\;L\Phi(u)-\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}\frac{dv}{2\pi}\frac{2}{(u-v)^2+1}[Z(v)-2L(v)]+\sum_{h=1}^{H}\phi(u-x_h)-\\ &-\;2\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}\frac{dv}{2\pi}\,\frac{d}{dv}\theta(u,v)\,[Z(v)-2L(v)]-2\sum_{h=1}^{H}\theta(u,x_h)\,.\end{aligned}$$

---

[2] As in the BDS model, these states are the highest anomalous dimension state and its excitations.

Going now to the Fourier space, after grouping the terms containing $\hat{Z}(k)$, the following equation shall hold

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{Z}(k) &= L\frac{2\pi}{i}P\left(\frac{1}{k}\right)\frac{J_0(2gk)}{2\cosh\frac{k}{2}}+\frac{2}{1+e^{|k|}}\hat{L}(k)+\sum_{h=1}^{H}e^{-ikx_h}\frac{2\pi}{i}P\left(\frac{1}{k}\right)\frac{1}{1+e^{|k|}}+\\ &+ \frac{1}{\cosh\frac{k}{2}}\sum_{r=2}^{\infty}\sum_{\nu=0}^{\infty}\beta_{r,r+1+2\nu}(g)\Big[\frac{2\pi}{g^{r-1}}\frac{1}{i^{r-2}}\frac{J_{r-1}(2gk)}{k}\mathcal{Q}_{r+1+2\nu}-\\ &- \frac{2\pi}{g^{r+2\nu}}\frac{1}{i^{r+2\nu-1}}\frac{J_{r+2\nu}(2gk)}{k}\mathcal{Q}_r\Big]\,, \end{aligned}\tag{3.8}$$

where we have introduced the conserved charges (3.7) and the explicit form (2.13) of the Fourier transform of the charge densities, $\hat{q}_r(k)$, has been used. A very crucial difference of this non-linear integral equation from the others in the literature may be stated in the presence of $Z(u)$ in infinite many places, i.e. all the charges $\mathcal{Q}_r$ (3.7).

Concerning the charges, we can write for them a system of equations. In fact, we first rewrite the expressions (3.7) in terms of Fourier transforms

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{Q}_s &= -\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}\frac{dk}{4\pi^2}\hat{q}'_s(-k)[\hat{Z}(k)-2\hat{L}(k)]-\sum_{h=1}^{H}q_s(x_h)=\\ &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty}\frac{dk}{2\pi}\frac{i^{3+s}}{g^{s-1}}\frac{J_{s-1}(2gk)}{e^{\frac{|k|}{2}}}[\hat{Z}(k)-2\hat{L}(k)]-\sum_{h=1}^{H}q_s(x_h)\,. \end{aligned}\tag{3.9}$$

Then we insert relation (3.8) for $\hat{Z}(k)$ into this expression to obtain,

$$\begin{aligned}&\mathcal{Q}_s = \frac{i^{s+2}}{g^{s-1}}\Big[L\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}dk\frac{J_{s-1}(2gk)J_0(2gk)}{k(e^{|k|}+1)}+\\ &+ 2\sum_{r=2}^{\infty}\sum_{\nu=0}^{\infty}\beta_{r,r+1+2\nu}(g)(-1)^{1+\nu}\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}dk\frac{J_{s-1}(2gk)J_{r-1}(2gk)}{k(e^{|k|}+1)}\frac{g^{1-r}}{i^{r+2\nu-1}}\mathcal{Q}_{r+2\nu+1}\\ &+ 2\sum_{r=2}^{\infty}\sum_{\nu=0}^{\infty}\beta_{r,r+1+2\nu}(g)(-1)^{1+\nu}\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}dk\frac{J_{s-1}(2gk)J_{r+2\nu}(2gk)}{k(e^{|k|}+1)}\frac{g^{-r-2\nu}}{i^{r-2}}\mathcal{Q}_r\Big]-\\ &- \int_{-\infty}^{\infty}\frac{dk}{2\pi}\frac{i^{3+s}}{g^{s-1}}\frac{J_{s-1}(2gk)}{\cosh\frac{k}{2}}\hat{L}(k)-\sum_{h=1}^{H}\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}\frac{dk}{2k}e^{-ikx_h}\frac{i^{2+s}}{g^{s-1}}\frac{J_{s-1}(2gk)}{\cosh\frac{k}{2}}\,. \end{aligned}\tag{3.10}$$

This relation is exact and, at least in principle, may be efficient in the analysis of the conserved charges, though now $Z(u)$ appears.

4 A new approach to a NLIE

In almost all the cases considered up to now, the NLIE was written for counting functions defined as

$$Z(u)=\Phi(u)-\sum_{k=1}^{M}\phi(u-u_k)\,,\tag{4.1}$$

and when the Bethe roots distribute on the real axis, allowing the presence of only a finite number of holes and possibly complex roots. Even if this case is relevant for the study of the fundamental state and the first excitations of many models, it does not cover many of the Bethe Ansatz systems proposed in the context of $\mathcal{N}=4$ SYM.

For this reason we want to write the NLIE (and the expression for the eigenvalues of the observables in terms of its solution) for the more general case in which the counting function is defined as

$$Z(u)=\Phi(u)-\sum_{k=1}^{M}\phi(u,u_k)\,, \tag{4.2}$$

(i.e. the function $\phi(x,y)$ does not depend only on the difference $x-y$: this happens, for instance, when the dressing factor is present). We suppose also that the M Bethe roots are concentrated in an interval $[A,B]$ of the real axis [3] and that holes are present only outside this interval. This second property is peculiar, for instance, of some states in the $sl(2)$ sector of $\mathcal{N}=4$ SYM.

On this state we consider a sum over the Bethe roots $\{u_k\}_{k=1,\dots,M}$ of a function (observable) $O(u)$ analytic in a strip around the real axis. If the condition $e^{iZ(u_k)}=-1$ holds, this sum can be written as

$$\begin{aligned} 2\pi i\sum_{k=1}^{M}O(u_k) &= \lim_{\epsilon\to 0^+}\left[\int_A^B du O(u-i\epsilon)\frac{e^{iZ(u-i\epsilon)}iZ'(u-i\epsilon)}{1+e^{iZ(u-i\epsilon)}}+\right. \\ &+ \left.\int_B^A du O(u+i\epsilon)\frac{e^{iZ(u+i\epsilon)}iZ'(u+i\epsilon)}{1+e^{iZ(u+i\epsilon)}}\right]\,. \end{aligned} \tag{4.3}$$

Supposing $Z'(u)<0$, we can rearrange this expression as follows,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{k=1}^{M}O(u_k) &= -\int_A^B\frac{dv}{2\pi}O(v)Z'(v)+\int_A^B\frac{dv}{\pi}O(v)\frac{d}{dv}\text{Im}\ln\left[1+e^{iZ(v-i0)}\right]= \\ &= -\frac{1}{2\pi}\left[O(B)Z(B)-O(A)Z(A)\right]+ \\ &+ \frac{1}{\pi}\left\{O(B)\text{Im}\ln\left[1+e^{iZ(B)}\right]-O(A)\text{Im}\ln\left[1+e^{iZ(A)}\right]\right\}+ \\ &+ \int_A^B\frac{dv}{2\pi}O'(v)Z(v)- \\ &- 2\int_A^B\frac{dv}{2\pi}O'(v)\text{Im}\ln\left[1+e^{iZ(v-i0)}\right]\,. \end{aligned} \tag{4.4}$$

In brief, what we are doing is to evaluate a sum on the Bethe roots by integrating just on the interval containing them. Therefore, this method is alternative and complementary to the idea proposed in the first of [1] which consists in first integrating on all the real axis and then subtracting the contributions coming from the real holes.

---

[3] The case in which the Bethe roots are concentrated on a finite number of intervals on the real axis follows straightforwardly from the results of this Section. Moreover, even the case when the roots lie on complex lines can be treated as follows.

We now apply (4.4) to the sum over the Bethe roots appearing in the definition (4.2). We get the following equation

$$\begin{aligned} Z(u) &= f(u) - \int_A^B \frac{dv}{2\pi} \frac{d}{dv}\phi(u,v)\, Z(v) + \\ &+ 2\int_A^B \frac{dv}{2\pi} \frac{d}{dv}\phi(u,v)\, \mathrm{Im} \ln \left[1 + e^{iZ(v-i0)}\right] , \end{aligned} \tag{4.5}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} f(u) &= \Phi(u) + \frac{1}{2\pi} \left[\phi(u,B)Z(B) - \phi(u,A)Z(A)\right] - \\ &- \frac{1}{\pi} \left\{\phi(u,B)\mathrm{Im}\ln\left[1+e^{iZ(B)}\right] - \phi(u,A)\mathrm{Im}\ln\left[1+e^{iZ(A)}\right]\right\} . \end{aligned} \tag{4.6}$$

We can now write a NLIE for the counting function by inserting in an iterative way (4.5) for Z in the right hand side of the same equation. Using the notation

$$(\varphi \star f)(u) = \int_A^B dv\, \varphi(u,v) f(v)\,, \tag{4.7}$$

eventually we get the NLIE in the form

$$Z(u) = F(u) + 2(G \star L)(u)\,, \tag{4.8}$$

where

$$F(u) = f(u) + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (-1)^k ((\varphi^{\star k}) \star f)(u)\,, \quad G(u,v) = \varphi(u,v) + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} (-1)^{k-1} (\varphi^{\star k})(u,v)\,. \tag{4.9}$$

We used the simplified notations

$$L(u) = \mathrm{Im}\ln\left[1 + e^{iZ(u+i0)}\right] , \quad \varphi(u,v) = \frac{1}{2\pi}\frac{d}{dv}\phi(u,v)\,. \tag{4.10}$$

More explicitly,

$$\begin{aligned} F(u) &= f(u) + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (-1)^k \int_A^B dv_1\, \varphi(u,v_1) \int_A^B dv_2\, \varphi(v_1,v_2) \ldots \\ &\ldots \int_A^B dv_k\, \varphi(v_{k-1},v_k) f(v_k)\,, \end{aligned} \tag{4.11}$$

$$\begin{aligned} G(u,v) &= \varphi(u,v) + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (-1)^k \int_A^B dv_0\, \varphi(u,v_0) \int_A^B dv_1\, \varphi(v_0,v_1) \ldots \\ &\ldots \int_A^B dv_{k-1}\, \varphi(v_{k-2} - v_{k-1})\, \varphi(v_{k-1} - v)\,. \end{aligned} \tag{4.12}$$

Eventually, inserting (4.8) in (4.4) we get an expression for the eigenvalues of an observable as

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{k=1}^{M} O(x_k) &= -\frac{1}{2\pi}\left[O(B)Z(B) - O(A)Z(A)\right] + \\ &+ \frac{1}{\pi}\left\{O(B)\mathrm{Im}\ln\left[1+e^{iZ(B)}\right] - O(A)\mathrm{Im}\ln\left[1+e^{iZ(A)}\right]\right\} + \\ &+ \int_A^B \frac{dv}{2\pi} O^{'}(v)F(v) + \\ &+ 2\int_A^B \frac{dv}{2\pi} O^{'}(v)\int_A^B dw[G(v,w) - \delta(v-w)]\mathrm{Im}\ln\left[1+e^{iZ(w-i0)}\right] .\end{aligned} \tag{4.13}$$

We remark that all the already known NLIEs can be reproduced in this way, without Fourier transforming. Moreover, formulæ (4.8, 4.9) and (4.13) can be used in order to write, respectively, the NLIE and the eigenvalues of the observables on states appearing in models relevant for $\mathcal{N} = 4$ SYM. It would be of interest to apply these techniques, for instance, to the widely studied [15, 7] $sl(2)$ sector of the theory.

5 Summary

We have reported on our project - still in progress - of writing NLIEs for the Bethe Ansatz type equations relevant for the determination of anomalous dimensions of operators in $\mathcal{N} = 4$ SYM (and, correspondingly energies of strings). The NLIE describing the highest anomalous dimension operator of the BDS model and its excitations - treated in Section 2 - does not differ in form from the NLIEs studied up to now. However, the Bethe equations arising in the context of $\mathcal{N} = 4$ SYM have in general intrinsic complications - namely, magnon scattering matrix which does not depend only on the difference of the rapidities and states described by roots which can condense on lines in the complex plane - which at first sight seem to prevent even the possibility of writing a NLIE. We have shown how to circumvent this problem in some cases. First we studied in Section 3 the $SU(2)$ sector with dressing factor and showed how to write a new type of NLIE which depends explicitly on the eigenvalues of the conserved charges. Then, in Section 4, we sketched a general formalism which allows to treat magnon scattering matrices with general dependence on the rapidities and states with roots on intervals on the real line (or even complex lines). We plan to give in forthcoming publications explicit applications of this new formalism, for instance in the $sl(2)$ sector of $\mathcal{N} = 4$ SYM.

References

[1] D. Fioravanti, A. Mariottini, E. Quattrini, F. Ravanini, *Excited state Destri-de Vega equation for sine-Gordon and restricted sine-Gordon models*, Phys. Lett.

B390 (1997) 243 and hep-th/9608091;
C. Destri, H.J. de Vega, *Non linear integral equation and excited states scaling functions in the sine-Gordon model*, Nucl. Phys, **B504** (1997) 621 and hep-th/9701107;

[2] J.M. Maldacena, *The large N limit of superconformal field theories and supergravity*, Adv. Theor. Math. Phys. **2** (1998) 231 and hep-th/9711200;
S.S. Gubser, I.R. Klebanov, A.M. Polyakov, *Gauge theory correlators from non-critical string theory*, Phys.Lett. **B428** (1998) 105 and hep-th/9802109;
E. Witten, *Anti-de Sitter space and holography*, Adv. Theor. Math. Phys. **2** (1998) 253 and hep-th/9802150;

[3] J.A. Minahan, K. Zarembo, *The Bethe Ansatz for* $\mathcal{N} = 4$ *Super Yang-Mills*, JHEP**03** (2003) 013 and hep-th/0212208;

[4] I. Bena, J. Polchinski, R. Roiban, *Hidden symmetries of the* $AdS_5 \times S^5$ *superstring*, Phys. Rev. **D69** (2004) 046002 and hep-th/0305116;

[5] N. Beisert, V. Dippel, M. Staudacher, *A novel long range spin chain and planar* $\mathcal{N} = 4$ *super Yang-Mills*, JHEP**07** (2004) 075 and hep-th/0405001;

[6] N. Beisert, M. Staudacher, *Long-range* $PSU(2,2|4)$ *Bethe Ansatz for gauge theory and strings*, Nucl. Phys. **B727** (2005) 1 and hep-th/0504190;

[7] N. Beisert, B.Eden, M. Staudacher, *Transcendentality and crossing*, J.Stat.Mech.**01** (2007) P021 and hep-th/0610251;

[8] V.A. Kazakov, A. Marshakov, J.A. Minahan, K. Zarembo, *Classical/quantum integrability in AdS/CFT*, JHEP**05** (2004) 024 and hep-th/0402207;
V.A. Kazakov, K. Zarembo, *Classical/quantum integrability in non-compact sector of AdS/CFT*, JHEP**10** (2004) 060 and hep-th/0410105;

[9] G. Arutyunov, S. Frolov, M. Staudacher, *Bethe Ansatz for quantum strings*, JHEP**10** (2004) 016 and hep-th/0406256;

[10] N. Beisert, R. Hernandez, E. Lopez, *A crossing symmetric phase for* $AdS_5 \times S^5$ *strings*, JHEP**11** (2006) 070 and hep-th/0609044;

[11] R. Hernandez, E. Lopez, A. Perianez, G. Sierra, *Finite size effects in ferromagnetic spin chains and quantum corrections to classical strings*, JHEP**06** (2005) and hep-th/0502188;
N. Beisert, A. Tseytlin, K. Zarembo, *Matching quantum strings to quantum spins: one-loop vs. finite size corrections*, Nucl. Phys. **B715** (2005) 190 and hep-th/0502173;
N. Gromov, V. Kazakov, *Double scaling and finite size corrections in sl(2) spin chain*, Nucl. Phys. **B736** (2006) 224 and hep-th/0510194;

[12] G. Feverati, D. Fioravanti, P. Grinza, M. Rossi, *On the finite size corrections of anti-ferromagnetic anomalous dimensions in $\mathcal{N} = 4$ SYM*, JHEP**05** (2006) 068 and hep-th/0602189;

[13] G. Feverati, D. Fioravanti, P. Grinza, M. Rossi, *Hubbard's Adventures in $\mathcal{N} = 4$ SYM-land? Some non-perturbative considerations on finite length operators*, J.Stat.Mech. **02** (2007) P001 and hep-th/0611186;

[14] D. Fioravanti, M. Rossi, *On the commuting charges for the highest dimension $SU(2)$ operators in planar $\mathcal{N} = 4$ SYM*, JHEP**08** (2007) 089 and arXiv:0706.3936 [hep-th];

[15] B. Eden, M. Staudacher, *Integrability and transcendentality*, J. Stat. Mech. **11** (2006) P014 and hep-th/0603157.